No. ID/FD/10-83/6201.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Raja Deen and the management of M/s Baronzo Powder Pvt. Ltd., 13/3, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of spotion 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Opict, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the 'dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raja Deen, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/YMN/11-83/6208.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prem Singh and the management of M/s Palace Hotel, Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab 68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prem Singh, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/10-83/6214.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sattya Prashad and the management of M/s R. K. Electroplaters, 42, Link Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court; Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sattya Prashad, was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/RTK/20-83/6221.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists, between the workman Shri Vindesheri Parshad and the management of M/s The Bahadurgarh, Co-op. Society Ltd., Jhajjar Road, Bahadurgarh regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

"Whether the termination of service of Shri Vindeshari Parshad, was justified and in order?

If not, to what relief is he entitled?"

V. S. ICH AUDHRI,
Deputy Secretary; to Government, Haryani,
Labour Department.